

1 Frank S. Hedin (SBN 291289)  
2 **HEDIN HALL LLP**  
3 Four Embarcadero Center, Suite 1400  
4 San Francisco, CA 94104  
5 Telephone: (415) 766-3534  
6 Facsimile: (415) 402-0058  
7 Email: fhedin@hedinhall.com

8 *Counsel for Plaintiff and the Putative Class*

9 [Additional Counsel on Signature Page]

10 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE**  
11 **CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

12 ANAYANCY PAIZ, SUSAN  
13 FINKBEINER, LARISSA RAPADAS,  
14 and TESSIBLE “SKYLER” FOSTER

15 PLAINTIFFS,

16 V.

17 800-FLOWERS, INC., D/B/A 1-800-  
18 FLOWERS.COM, HARRY & DAVID,  
19 PERSONALIZATION MALL,  
20 SHARI’S BERRIES, 1-800-  
21 BASKETS.COM, SIMPLY  
22 CHOCOLATE, FRUIT  
23 BOUQUETS.COM, CHERYL’S  
24 COOKIES, THE POPCORN  
25 FACTORY, WOLFERMAN’S  
26 BAKERY, AND VITAL CHOICE,

27 DEFENDANT.  
28

2:23-cv-04340-AB-PVC

**SECOND AMENDED CLASS  
ACTION COMPLAINT**

**DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL**

1 Plaintiffs Anayancy Paiz, Susan Finkbeiner, Larissa Rapadas, and Skyler  
2 Foster, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated, complain and  
3 allege as follows based on personal knowledge as to each of themselves, on the  
4 investigation of counsel, and on information and belief as to all other matters.

5 **NATURE OF THE ACTION**

6 1. Plaintiffs bring this Second Amended Class Action Complaint against  
7 800-Flowers, Inc. (“Defendant”) for engaging in an illegal “automatic renewal”  
8 scheme with respect to its Celebrations Passport product, which Defendant sells on  
9 its network of websites. Defendant is an online retailer of flowers and gifts.  
10 Defendant’s Celebrations Passport is a membership program that provides  
11 customers free shipping and no service fees for the first year at a cost of \$19.99,  
12 which then automatically renews every year after the initial year at a cost of \$29.99  
13 plus tax (the “Automatic Renewal Fee”) charged to the consumer’s stored credit  
14 card, debit card or third-party payment account (collectively the “Payment  
15 Method”). Prior to assessing the Automatic Renewal Fees, Defendant not only  
16 failed to obtain Plaintiffs’ and other California consumers’ consent to be charged  
17 these fees – Defendant failed to even disclose the existence of the fee to them in a  
18 clear and conspicuous manner. By assessing the Automatic Renewal Fees to  
19 Plaintiffs and other California consumers without providing adequate notice or  
20 obtaining the requisite consent, Defendant violated California’s Automatic  
21 Renewal Law (“ARL”), Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code §§ 17600, *et seq.*

23 2. The ARL requires online retailers like Defendant that sell  
24 automatically renewing subscriptions to California consumers to, *inter alia*: (a)  
25 obtain affirmative consent before a customer buys an automatically renewing  
26 subscription; (b) provide the complete auto-renewal terms in a clear and  
27 conspicuous manner and in visual proximity to the request for consent before  
28 purchase; and (c) provide customers notice of an automatic renewal between 15 and

1 45 days before the offer renews. As is set forth herein, Defendant has violated all  
2 three of these requirements.

3 3. Specifically, Defendant systematically violates the ARL by: (i) failing  
4 to present the automatic renewal offer terms applicable to the Celebrations Passport  
5 in a clear and conspicuous manner and in visual proximity to the request for consent  
6 to the offer before the subscription or purchasing agreement is fulfilled, in violation  
7 of Section 17602(a)(1); (ii) charging consumers' Payment Method without first  
8 obtaining affirmative consent to the agreement containing the automatic renewal  
9 offer terms, in violation of Section 17602(a)(2); and (iii) failing to provide notice  
10 of the renewal between 15 and 45 days before the automatic renewal occurs, in  
11 direct violation of Section 17602(b)(2). Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code §§ 17602(a)(1),  
12 (a)(2), and (b)(2). As a result, all goods, wares, merchandise, or products sent to  
13 Plaintiffs and the Class through the unlawful automatic renewal of a continuous  
14 service agreement are deemed to be "unconditional gifts" under the ARL. Cal. Bus.  
15 & Prof. Code § 17603.

16  
17 4. Accordingly, Plaintiffs bring this action individually and on behalf of  
18 all California purchasers of Celebrations Passport whose stored Payment Method  
19 was charged an Automatic Renewal Fee during the class period. Based on  
20 Defendant's unlawful conduct, Plaintiffs seek damages, restitution, injunctive  
21 relief, and reasonable attorneys' fees and costs, for: (i) violation of California's  
22 Unfair Competition Law ("UCL"), Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code §§ 17200, *et seq.*; and  
23 (ii) violation of California's False Advertising Law ("FAL"), Cal. Bus. & Prof.  
24 Code §§ 17500, *et seq.*

### 25 **JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

26 5. Plaintiffs bring this class action pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 23.

27 6. The Court has subject-matter jurisdiction over this action pursuant to  
28 U.S.C. § 1332(d) because there are more than 100 class members and the

aggregate amount in controversy exceeds \$5,000,000, exclusive of interest, fees, and costs, and at least one Class member is a citizen of a state different from Defendant.

7. The Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendant pursuant to California’s “long-arm” statute, Cal. Civ. Proc. Code § 410.10, because Defendant intentionally markets, promotes, and sells its products and services, including its Celebrations Passport product, to Plaintiffs and other consumers in California, and knowingly collects substantial revenue from such transactions in California, such that Defendant conducts significant business in California and otherwise intentionally and purposefully avails itself of the markets and the benefits of doing business in California.

## THE PARTIES

8. Plaintiff Anayancy Paiz is a resident of Los Angeles County, California. Plaintiff Paiz purchased products from Defendant, including the Celebrations Passport, for personal, family, or household purposes, and is therefore a “consumer” under Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code § 17601(d).

9. Plaintiff Susan Finkbeiner is a resident of Los Angeles County, California. Plaintiff Finkbeiner purchased products from Defendant, including the Celebrations Passport, for personal, family, or household purposes, and is therefore a “consumer” under Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code § 17601(d).

10. Plaintiff Larissa Rapadas is a resident of Los Angeles County, California. Plaintiff Rapadas purchased products from Defendant, including the Celebrations Passport, for personal, family, or household purposes, and is therefore a “consumer” under Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code § 17601(d).

11. Plaintiff Skyler Foster is a resident of Yuba County, California. Plaintiff Foster purchased products from Defendant, including the Celebrations Passport, for personal, family, or household purposes, and is therefore a “consumer” under Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code § 17601(d).

12. Defendant 800-Flowers, Inc. is an online retailer of flowers and gifts. Defendant is organized under the laws of New York and maintains its corporate headquarters and principal place of business in Jericho, New York.

**California's Autorenewal LAW ("ARL")**

**Cal. Bus. Prof. Code § 17600, et. seq.**

13. In the 2009 Legislative Session the California Legislature passed the ARL, which was intended "to end the practice of ongoing charging of consumer credit or debit cards or third-party payment accounts without the consumers' explicit consent for ongoing shipments of a product or ongoing deliveries of service." Cal. Bus. Prof. Code § 17600.

14. The ARL addresses "increasingly common" consumer complaints of unwanted credit charges for products or services consumers did not explicitly request or know they were agreeing to, "often the result of agreements enumerated in the "fine print" on an order or advertisement that the consumer responded to." California Bill Analysis, S.B. 340 Sen., 4/21/2009.

15. Under the ARL an "automatic renewal means a plan or arrangement in which a paid subscription or purchasing agreement is automatically renewed at the end of a definite term for a subsequent term." Cal. Bus. Prof. Code § 17601(a).

16. The ARL requires that the following disclosures pertaining to an automatic renewal be "clear and conspicuous":

- 1) That the subscription or purchasing agreement will continue until the consumer cancels;
- 2) The description of the cancellation policy that applies to the offer;
- 3) The recurring charges that will be charged to the consumer's credit or debit card or payment account with a third party as part of the automatic renewal plan or arrangement, and that the amount of the

charge may change, if that is the case, and the amount to which the charge will change, if known;

- 4) The length of the automatic renewal term or that the service is continuous, unless the length of the term is chosen by the consumer;
- 5) The minimum purchase obligation, if any.

Cal. Bus. Prof. Code § 17601(b)

17. “Clear and conspicuous” or “clearly conspicuous” means in larger type than the surrounding text, or in contrasting type, font, or color to the surrounding text of the same size, or set off from the surrounding text of the same size by symbols or other marks, in a manner that clearly calls attention to the language.

Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code § 17601(c).

18. The ARL makes it unlawful for a business to (1) make an automatic renewal offer that fails to present the “automatic renewal offer terms” in a “clear and conspicuous manner” “before the subscription or purchasing agreement is fulfilled and in visual proximity . . . to the request for consent to the offer”; and (2) to charge the consumer’s Payment Method without first obtaining the consumer’s “affirmative consent” to the automatic renewal. Cal. Bus. Prof. Code § 17602(a)(1) & (2).

19. Under the ARL, where the initial term of an autorenewal offer is one year or longer, the consumer must be provided notice of renewal of the offer at least 15 days before, but not more than 45 days before, the offer renews. Cal Bus. & Prof. Code § 17601(b)(2).

### **FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS COMMON TO ALL CLAIMS**

#### ***(a) The Celebrations Passport***

20. Defendant operates multiple consumer brands including 1-800-Flowers.com, Harry & David, Personalization Mall, Shari’s Berries, 1-800-Baskets.com, Simply Chocolate, Fruit Bouquets.com, Cheryl’s Cookies, the

1 Popcorn Factory, Wolferman’s Bakery, and Vital Choice. These different brands  
2 offer products in various categories for the purpose of gift giving, such as flowers,  
3 gift baskets, cookies, healthy snacks, and fruit arrangements.

4 21. Defendant charges customers shipping and handling fees for gift  
5 deliveries, and service fees for floral deliveries, across all of its brands. Defendant’s  
6 “Celebrations Passport” product gives customers free shipping and allows  
7 customers to avoid service fees for one year for all orders made on Defendant’s  
8 various websites.

9 22. On its network of websites Defendant advertises that it costs \$19.99 to  
10 purchase the Celebrations Passport and touts the benefits of free shipping and  
11 waived service fees. But what Defendant’s offer deceptively conceals from  
12 consumers is that each year following the purchase of the Celebrations Passport,  
13 Defendant automatically charges the customer an Automatic Renewal Fee of  
14 \$29.99 – \$10.00 more (plus tax) than the initial fee – to the Payment Method  
15 supplied by the customer (and stored by Defendant) at enrollment. Defendant’s  
16 offer also deceptively conceals from consumers that they may cancel the  
17 Celebration Passport service before it automatically renews.

18 23. Defendant has used various means to induce customers to add the  
19 Celebrations Passport to their orders on the various websites where it does business.  
20 One tactic Defendant has used is to automatically add Celebrations Passport to  
21 customers’ carts without customers requesting the Celebrations Passport be added.  
22 Defendant has also used a click-wrap check box in its check-out flow, which  
23 requires a customer to select the Celebrations Passport as an item to be included in  
24 his or her cart. But regardless of the means Defendant has used to induce consumers  
25 to purchase the Celebrations Passport, Defendant has uniformly failed to disclose  
26 the key, statutorily required autorenewal terms, in a clear and conspicuous manner,  
27 **prior to** the customer’s completion of the check-out process – namely: (a) the fact  
28

1 that the Celebrations Passport automatically renews, (b) that the renewal fee is  
2 \$29.99, \$10.00 higher than the introductory fee, and (c) that the customer's stored  
3 payment method will be charged every year, each of which is an independent  
4 violation of the ARL Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code §17602(a)(1).

5 24. It is unlawful under the ARL for Defendant to charge customers'  
6 Payment Method an Automatic Renewal Fee without first obtaining consumers'  
7 affirmative consent to the agreement containing the automatic renewal offer terms.  
8 Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code § 17602(a)(2). To the extent Defendant's checkout flow  
9 has included the necessary automatic renewal terms, these disclosures were not  
10 "clear and conspicuous" as required under the ARL and as such did not manifest a  
11 customer's consent to the autorenewal terms. "Clear and Conspicuous" means the  
12 disclosure of key terms is presented in larger type than the surrounding text, or in  
13 contrasting type, font, or color to the surrounding text of the same size, or set off  
14 from the surrounding text of the same size by symbols or other marks, in a manner  
15 that clearly calls attention to the language. Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code § 17601(c).

16 25. Defendant's websites do not display the required autorenewal  
17 disclosures in larger type than the surrounding text, or in contrasting type, font, or  
18 color to the surrounding text of the same size, or set off from the surrounding text  
19 of the same size by symbols or other marks, in a manner that clearly calls attention  
20 to the language. Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code § 17601(c).

21 26. Rather, to capture more revenue per transaction, Defendant designed  
22 its websites to present the terms of the Celebrations Passport in an intentionally  
23 inconspicuous manner, including by hiding the fact that Celebrations Passport is  
24 automatically renewed each year and that a customer's stored Payment Method will  
25 be automatically charged each year (and that the customer can cancel at any time)  
26 – such as, by displaying such language in font type that is smaller than and not  
27 otherwise in contrast to surrounding text, and not otherwise in a manner that clearly  
28 calls attention to such language – and by falsely representing to consumers that the



1 product costs \$19.99/year when in fact it renews every year after the initial year at  
2 the price at \$29.99 plus tax.

3 27. Further, once a customer is enrolled in the Celebrations Passport,  
4 Defendant does not send customers who are enrolled in service a notice between  
5 15 and 45 days before Celebrations Passport automatically renews for another year,  
6 as required under Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code § 17602(b)(2) – even though Defendant  
7 previously obtained these customers’ mailing addresses, email addresses, and  
8 phone numbers at the time of their initial purchases and could thus readily provide  
9 them with such notices.

10 ***(b) Plaintiffs’ Purchase of Celebrations Passport***

11 28. In 2021, Plaintiff Paiz made a purchase from Defendant on its 1-800-  
12 Flowers.com website, and such purchase included the Celebrations Passport.  
13 Plaintiff was assessed \$19.99 (plus taxes) for the Celebration Passport. Plaintiff  
14 Paiz provided her address, email address, and telephone number to Defendant at the  
15 time of her purchase

16 29. At the time of her 2021 purchase, Defendant stored Plaintiff Paiz’s  
17 third-party payment information.

18 30. Defendant then automatically charged Plaintiff Paiz’s stored payment  
19 methods approximately \$33.00 (representing the \$29.99 Automatic Renewal Fee  
20 plus taxes). On or about August 18, 2022 and August 18, 2023 Defendant charged  
21 Plaintiff’s PayPal account an Automatic Renewal Fee for Celebrations Passport.  
22

23 31. At some time before 2019, Plaintiff Finkbeiner made a purchase from  
24 Defendant on its 1-800-Flowers.com website, and such purchase included the  
25 Celebrations Passport. Plaintiff was assessed \$19.99 (plus taxes) for the Celebration  
26 Passport. Plaintiff Finkbeiner provided her address, email address, and telephone  
27 number to Defendant at the time of her purchase.  
28

1           32. At the time of her initial purchase, Defendant stored Plaintiff  
2 Finkbeiner's third-party payment information.

3           33. Defendant then automatically charged Plaintiff Finkbeiner's stored  
4 payment methods approximately \$33.00 (representing the \$29.99 Automatic  
5 Renewal Fee plus taxes). Most recently, on or about April 3, 2023, Defendant  
6 charged Plaintiff Finkbeiner's PayPal account an Automatic Renewal Fee for  
7 Celebrations Passport.

8           34. In around January 2022, Plaintiff Rapadas made a purchase from  
9 Defendant on its 1-800-Flowers.com website, and such purchase included the  
10 Celebrations Passport. Plaintiff was assessed \$19.99 (plus taxes) for the Celebration  
11 Passport. Plaintiff Rapadas provided her address, email address, and telephone  
12 number to Defendant at the time of her purchase

13           35. At the time of her 2022 purchase, Defendant stored Plaintiff Rapadas's  
14 third-party payment information.

15           36. Defendant then automatically charged Plaintiff Rapadas's stored  
16 payment methods approximately \$33.00 (representing the \$29.99 Automatic  
17 Renewal Fee plus taxes). On or about February 5, 2023, Defendant charged  
18 Plaintiff Rapadas's PayPal account an Automatic Renewal Fee for Celebrations  
19 Passport.

20           37. In April 2021, Plaintiff Foster made a purchase from Defendant on its  
21 1-800-Flowers.com website, and such purchase included the Celebrations Passport.  
22 Plaintiff was assessed \$19.99 (plus taxes) for the Celebration Passport. Plaintiff  
23 Foster provided her address, email address, and telephone number to Defendant at  
24 the time of her purchase

25           38. At the time of her 2021 purchase, Defendant stored Plaintiff Foster's  
26 third-party payment information.  
27  
28

1           39. Defendant then automatically charged Plaintiff Foster stored payment  
2 methods approximately \$33.00 (representing the \$29.99 Automatic Renewal Fee  
3 plus taxes). On or about April 28, 2022 and May 5, 2023 Defendant charged  
4 Plaintiff Foster's PayPal account an Automatic Renewal Fee for Celebrations  
5 Passport.

6           40. Plaintiffs were not aware of either the Automatic Renewal Fee or its  
7 ten-dollar price increase because Defendant failed to present the Celebration  
8 Passport's "automatic renewal offer terms" in "a clear and conspicuous manner" on  
9 the website from which each Plaintiff made her purchase. Indeed, Defendant's 1-  
10 800-Flowers.com and other websites did not display the required autorenewal  
11 disclosures in larger type than the surrounding text, or in contrasting type, font, or  
12 color to the surrounding text of the same size, or set off from the surrounding text  
13 of the same size by symbols or other marks, in a manner that clearly calls attention  
14 to the language. Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code § 17601(c).

15           41. Plaintiffs did not affirmatively consent to their payment information  
16 being stored, their stored payment method being charged the Automatic Renewal  
17 Fee for the Celebrations Passport, or the increased price for the Automatic Renewal  
18 Fee, because such terms were not adequately disclosed when each Plaintiff made  
19 their initial purchase.

20           42. Nor did Defendant provide Plaintiffs notice between 15 and 45 days  
21 before Celebrations Passport automatically renewed and Defendant charged  
22 Plaintiffs' stored payment method an automatic renewal fee.

23           43. Had Plaintiffs known that their initial purchase from Defendants which  
24 included the Celebrations Passport, was subject to automatic renewal or the  
25 Automatic Renewal Fee, that their stored payment methods would automatically be  
26 charged each year, or that Automatic Renewal Fee was \$10.00 more than the stated  
27 fee for the initial year, Plaintiffs would not have purchased the Celebrations  
28 Passport in the first place, due to, among other reasons, the hassle of monitoring a

1 subscription and timely cancelling. Plaintiffs did not know these facts because of  
2 the deceptive marketing Defendant used (and continues to use) to sell Celebrations  
3 Passport, including Defendant's failure to present the automatic renewal offer terms  
4 clearly and conspicuously in a manner which clearly calls attention to the auto-  
5 renewal terms of Defendant's offer before Plaintiffs agreed to purchase  
6 Celebrations Passport. Moreover, Plaintiffs could not reasonably cancel their  
7 Celebrations Passport before it automatically renewed because, in addition to the  
8 foregoing, Defendant failed to notify Plaintiffs of the Celebration Passport's  
9 renewal between 15 and 45 days in advance of the automatic renewal as required  
10 by the ARL.

11 44. The facts giving rise to Plaintiffs' claims are materially the same as the  
12 Class they seek to represent.

13 **Class Action Allegations**

14 45. Plaintiffs bring this action on Plaintiffs' own behalf and on behalf of  
15 all persons similarly situated as a class action pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 23. The  
16 Class which Plaintiffs seek to represent is comprised of and defined as:

17 All persons in California who, within the applicable statutory period, up to  
18 and including the date of final judgment in this action, incurred an Automatic  
19 Renewal Fee in connection with Celebrations Passport.

20 46. Excluded from the Class are Defendant, its corporate parents,  
21 subsidiaries, franchisees and affiliates, officers and directors, any entity in which  
22 Defendant has a controlling interest, and the legal representatives, successors or  
23 assigns of any such excluded person or entities, and the Court to which this action  
24 is assigned.

25 47. Plaintiffs reserve the right to amend or modify the class description  
26 with greater specificity or further division into subclasses or limitation to particular  
27 issues based upon discovery or further investigation.  
28

1           48. This action may properly be brought and maintained as a class action  
2 pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23(a) and (b). This class action satisfies  
3 the numerosity, typicality, adequacy, commonality, predominance, and superiority  
4 requirements.

5           49. On application by Plaintiffs' counsel for class certification, Plaintiffs  
6 may also seek certification of subclasses in the interests of manageability, justice,  
7 or judicial economy.

8           50. **Numerosity.** The number of persons within the Classes is substantial,  
9 believed to amount to be in at least the thousands, of persons. It is, therefore,  
10 impractical to join each member of the Class as a named Plaintiff. Further, the size  
11 and relatively modest value of the claims of the individual members of the  
12 Class renders joinder impractical. Accordingly, utilization of the class action  
13 mechanism is the most economically feasible means of determining and  
14 adjudicating the merits of this litigation. Moreover, the Class is ascertainable and  
15 identifiable from Defendant's records

16  
17           51. **Commonality and Predominance:** There are well-defined common  
18 questions of fact and law that exist as to all members of the Class and that  
19 predominate over any questions affecting only individual members of the Class.  
20 These common legal and factual questions, which do not vary from class member  
21 to class member, and which may be determined without reference to the individual  
22 circumstances of any class member include, but are not limited to, the following:

- 23           (a) Whether Defendant's annual renewal of Celebrations Passport  
24 constitutes an "automatic renewal" within the meaning of Cal. Bus. &  
25 Prof. Code § 17601(a);  
26           (b) Whether Defendant failed to present the automatic renewal offer terms,  
27 or continuous service offer terms, in a clear and conspicuous manner  
28 before the subscription or purchasing agreement was fulfilled and in

visual proximity to the request for consent to the offer, in violation of Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code § 17602(a)(1);

(c) Whether Defendant charged Plaintiffs' and Class members' stored Payment Method an Automatic Renewal Fee without first obtaining their affirmative consent to the automatic renewal offer terms in violation of Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code §17602(a)(2);

(d) Whether the goods and services provided by Defendant are deemed "unconditional gifts" in accordance with Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code § 17603;

(e) Whether Defendant's conduct alleged herein violated California's Unfair Competition Law ("UCL"), Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code §§ 17200, *et seq.* and/or California's False Advertising Law ("FAL"), Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code §§ 17500, *et seq.*;

(f) Whether Plaintiff and the Class are entitled to, and the amount of any, damages and/or restitution;

(g) Whether Defendant should be enjoined from further engaging in the misconduct alleged herein; and

(h) Whether Plaintiffs and the Class are entitled to attorneys' fees and costs under Cal. Civ. Proc. Code § 1021.5.

52. **Typicality.** The claims of the named Plaintiffs are typical of the claims of members of the Class because Plaintiffs and all members of the proposed Class have suffered similar injuries as a result of the same practices alleged herein. Plaintiffs have no interests to advance adverse to the interests of the other members of the proposed Class.

53. **Adequacy.** Plaintiffs have retained and are represented by qualified and competent counsel who are highly experienced in complex consumer class action litigation. Plaintiffs and their counsel are committed to vigorously prosecuting this class action. Moreover, Plaintiffs are fairly and adequately

1 represent and protect the interests of the Class. Neither Plaintiffs nor their counsel  
2 has any interest adverse to, or in conflict with, the interests of the absent members  
3 of the Class. Plaintiffs have raised viable statutory claims of the type reasonably  
4 expected to be raised by members of the Class, and will vigorously pursue those  
5 claims. If necessary, Plaintiffs may seek leave of this Court to amend this Class  
6 Action Complaint to include additional Class representatives to represent the Class  
7 or additional claims as may be appropriate.

8         54. ***Superiority.*** A class action is superior to other available methods for  
9 the fair and efficient adjudication of this controversy because individual litigation  
10 of the claims of all members of the Classes is impracticable. Even if every member  
11 of the Class could afford to pursue individual litigation, the Court system could not.  
12 It would be unduly burdensome to the courts in which individual litigation of  
13 numerous cases would proceed. Individualized litigation would also present the  
14 potential for varying, inconsistent or contradictory judgments, and would magnify  
15 the delay and expense to all parties and to the court system resulting from multiple  
16 trials of the same factual issues. By contrast, the maintenance of this action as a  
17 class action, with respect to some or all of the issues presented herein, presents few  
18 management difficulties, conserves the resources of the parties and of the court  
19 system and protects the rights of each member of the Class. Plaintiffs anticipate no  
20 difficulty in the management of this action as a class action. Class-wide relief is  
21 essential to compel compliance with the ARL.

22  
23         55. Defendant has acted or failed to act on grounds generally applicable to  
24 the Class, thereby making appropriate final injunctive relief with respect to the  
25 Class as a whole.

26         56. Without a class action, Defendant will continue a course of action that  
27 will result in further damages to Plaintiffs and members of the Class and will likely  
28 retain the benefits of its wrongdoing.

1           57. Based on the foregoing allegations, Plaintiffs’ claims for relief include  
2 those set forth below.

3                                   **CLAIMS FOR RELIEF**

4                                   **FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF**  
5                                   **Violation of California’s Unfair Competition Law (“UCL”),**  
6                                   **(Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code §§ 17200, *et seq.*)**

7  
8           58. Plaintiffs re-allege and incorporate by reference every allegation set  
9 forth in the preceding paragraphs as though alleged in this claim.

10           59. Plaintiffs brings this claim individually and on behalf of the members  
11 of the proposed Class against Defendant.

12           60. Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code § 17200, *et seq.* (the “UCL”) prohibits acts of  
13 “unfair competition,” including any unlawful and unfair business acts or practices.

14           61. Under the “unlawful” prong of the UCL, a violation of another law is  
15 treated as unfair competition and is independently actionable.

16           62. Defendant committed unlawful practices in violation of the UCL by  
17 violating Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code § 17600, *et seq.*, California’s Automatic Purchase  
18 Renewal Statute, which declares unlawful “the practice of ongoing charging of  
19 consumer credit or debit cards or third-party payment accounts without the  
20 consumers’ explicit consent for ongoing shipments of a product or ongoing  
21 deliveries of service,” in each of the following ways:

22                   A. Defendant failed to present the terms of its automatic renewal or  
23                   continuous service offer in a clear and conspicuous manner before  
24                   fulfilling the subscription and in visual proximity to the request for  
25                   consent to the offer, as required by Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code §§  
26                   17602(a)(1);  
27  
28



1 B. Defendant charged Plaintiffs' and the Class's credit or debit cards, or  
2 the consumer's account with a third party, for an automatic renewal or  
3 continuous service without first obtaining the consumer's affirmative  
4 consent to the agreement containing the automatic renewal offer terms  
5 or continuous offer terms, as required by Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code §§  
6 17602(a)(2); and

7 C. Defendant failed to provide notice of the automatic renewal of an  
8 automatic renewal offer or continuous service offer with an initial term  
9 of one year or longer, that automatically renews unless the consumer  
10 cancels the automatic renewal or continuous service, at least 15 days  
11 and not more than 45 days before the automatic renewal offer or  
12 continuous service offer renews, as required by Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code  
13 §§ 17602(b)(2).  
14

15 63. Under the "unfair" prong of the UCL, a business practice is unfair if  
16 that practice offends an established public policy or when the practice is immoral,  
17 unethical, oppressive, unscrupulous or substantially injurious to consumers.

18 64. Defendant committed unfair acts and practices in violation of the UCL  
19 by, *inter alia*, mispresenting in marketing materials and creating the false  
20 impression that the Celebration Passport's fee was \$19.99 for each and every year  
21 when, in fact, the fee automatically increased to \$29.99 after the first year.

22 65. Plaintiffs and the Class reserve the right to allege other violations of  
23 law which constitute unlawful, unfair, or fraudulent business acts or practices as  
24 Defendant's conduct is ongoing and continues to this date.

25 66. All products received from Defendant in violation of the ARL  
26 constitute "unconditional gifts." See Cal. Bus. Prof. Code § 17603. As a direct and  
27 proximate result of Defendant's unlawful and/or unfair practices described herein,  
28 Defendant has received, and continues to hold, unlawfully obtained property and

1 money belonging to Plaintiffs and the Class in the form of automatic renewal  
2 payments made by Plaintiffs and the Class for Celebrations Passport. Defendant  
3 has profited from its unlawful and/or unfair acts and practices in the amount of the  
4 monies obtained from those unlawful renewals and interest accrued thereon.

5 67. Defendant's acts and omissions as alleged herein violate obligations  
6 imposed by statute, are substantially injurious to consumers, offend public policy,  
7 and are immoral, unethical, oppressive and unscrupulous as the gravity of the  
8 conduct outweighs any alleged benefits attributable to such conduct.

9 68. There were reasonably available alternatives to further Defendant's  
10 legitimate business interests, other than the conduct described herein.

11 69. Defendant's acts, omissions, nondisclosures, and misleading  
12 statements as alleged herein were and are false, misleading, and/or likely to deceive  
13 the consuming public.

14 70. As a result of Defendant's unlawful and unfair business practices,  
15 Plaintiffs and the Class have suffered an injury in fact and have lost money in an  
16 amount to be determined at the trial of this action.

17 71. Pursuant to Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code §17203, Plaintiffs and the other  
18 members of the Class are entitled to an order: (1) requiring Defendant to make  
19 restitution to Plaintiffs and the Class; (2) enjoining Defendant from charging  
20 Plaintiffs' and Class members' stored payment methods until such time as  
21 Defendant obtains the consumer's affirmative consent to an agreement that contains  
22 clear and conspicuous disclosures of all automatic renewal or continuous service  
23 offer terms; and (3) enjoining Defendant from making automatic renewal or  
24 continuous service offers in the State of California that do not comply with the ARL.  
25  
26

27 **SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF**  
28 **Violations of California's False Advertising Law ("FAL"),**

**(Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code §§ 17500, *et seq.*)**

72. Plaintiffs re-allege and incorporates by reference every allegation set forth in the paragraphs 1- 57 of this Second Amended Class Action Complaint as though alleged in this claim.

73. Plaintiffs bring this claim individually and on behalf of the members of the proposed Class against Defendant.

74. California's False Advertising Law, Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code §§ 17500, *et seq.*, makes it "unlawful for any person to make or disseminate or cause to be made or disseminated before the public in this state, . . . in any advertising device . . . or in any other manner or means whatever, including over the Internet, any statement, concerning . . . personal property or services, professional or otherwise, or performance or disposition thereof, which is untrue or misleading and which is known, or which by the exercise of reasonable care should be known, to be untrue or misleading.

75. Defendant committed acts of false advertising, as defined by § 17500, by intentionally making and disseminating statements to consumers in California and the general public concerning Celebrations Passport, as well as circumstances and facts connected to such products and services, which are untrue and misleading on their face and by omission, and which are known (or which by the exercise of reasonable care should be known) by Defendant to be untrue or misleading, as alleged above. Defendant has also intentionally made or disseminated such untrue or misleading statements and material omissions to consumers in California and to the public as part of a plan or scheme with intent not to sell those services as advertised, as alleged above.

76. As set forth above, Defendant's statements include but are not limited to representations and omissions made to consumers before the purchase of Celebrations Passport regarding the terms of payment and cancellation of a

1 consumer's automatic payments. Such representations and omissions in  
2 Defendant's marketing materials constitute false and deceptive advertisements.

3 77. Defendant violated CAL. BUS. & PROF. CODE § 17500 by, *inter*  
4 *alia*:

5 A. Stating and creating the false impression that the Celebrations Passport  
6 cost \$19.99 annually when after the first year Celebrations Passport  
7 cost \$29.99 (plus tax);

8 B. Omitting the fact that Celebrations Passport would be automatically  
9 renewed;

10 C. Omitting the fact that Defendant's stored customers' Payment  
11 Methods and said Payment Methods would be automatically charged;  
12 and

13 D. Omitting the means and method by which a customer may cancel their  
14 Celebrations Passport subscription.

15 78. Defendant's actions in violation of § 17500, as described herein, were  
16 false and misleading such that the general public is and was likely to be deceived.

17 79. Plaintiffs and the members of the Class were deceived by Defendant's  
18 statements and omissions made online on Defendants' websites when they signed  
19 up and paid for Celebrations Passport. There is a strong probability that other  
20 California consumers and members of the public were also, or are likely to be,  
21 deceived as well. Any reasonable consumer would be misled by Defendant's false  
22 and misleading statements and material omissions. Plaintiffs and other members of  
23 the Class did not learn of Defendant's automatic payment or cancellation policies  
24 until after they had already purchased Celebrations Passport and were wrongly  
25 charged a renewal fee. As such, they relied on Defendant's statements and  
26 omissions to their detriment.  
27  
28

1           80. Plaintiffs and the Class lost money or property as a result of  
2 Defendant's violations of CAL. BUS. & PROF. CODE § 17500 because they would  
3 not have purchased Celebrations Passport on the same terms if the true facts were  
4 known about the product as Celebrations Passport does not have the characteristics  
5 represented by Defendant.

6           81. Plaintiffs, individually and on behalf of all similarly situated California  
7 consumers, seek individual, representative, and public injunctive relief and any  
8 other necessary orders or judgments that will prevent Defendant from continuing  
9 with its false and deceptive advertisements and omissions; restitution that will  
10 restore the full amount of their money or property; disgorgement of Defendant's  
11 relevant profits and proceeds; and an award of costs and reasonable attorneys' fees.

12                           **PRAYER FOR RELIEF**

13           WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs pray for relief and judgment, as follows:

- 14           A. For an order certifying Plaintiffs as Class representatives and appointing  
15           Plaintiffs' counsel as Class counsel;  
16           B. For an order finding in favor of Plaintiffs and the Class on all claims  
17           alleged herein;  
18           C. For actual, compensatory, statutory, and/or punitive damages in amounts  
19           to be determined by the Court and/or jury;  
20           D. For prejudgment interest on all amounts awarded;  
21           E. For an order of restitution and all other forms of equitable monetary relief;  
22           F. For injunctive relief as pleaded or as the Court may deem proper; and  
23           G. For an order awarding Plaintiffs and the Class their reasonable attorneys'  
24           fees expenses, and costs of suit; and  
25           H. Awarding such other equitable or other relief as the Court may deem just  
26           and proper.  
27  
28

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5
- 6
- 7
- 8
- 9
- 10
- 11
- 12
- 13
- 14
- 15
- 16
- 17
- 18
- 19
- 20
- 21
- 22
- 23
- 24
- 25
- 26
- 27
- 28

Dated: August 28, 2023

**HEDIN HALL LLP**

Frank S. Hedin (SBN 291289)  
fhedin@hedinhall.com  
David W. Hall (SBN 274921)  
dhall@hedinhall.com  
Armen Zohrabian (SBN 230492)  
azohrabian@hedinhall.com  
**HEDIN HALL LLP**  
Four Embarcadero Center, Suite 1400  
San Francisco, CA 94104  
Telephone: (415) 766-3534  
Facsimile: (415) 402-0058

*Counsel for Plaintiff and the Putative Class*